

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION No. 19112 of 2005

Between:

Koliparthi Veera Venkata Naga Siva Kumar

..... PETITIONER

AND

Commissioner of Police, Vijayawada

And 16 others

.....RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

Seeking a Mandamus, declaring the action of the 1st and 2nd respondents in interfering with civil disputes and harassing the petitioner by calling him and his family members to the police station daily, as illegal and arbitrary, the present writ petition is filed.

It is the case of the petitioner that he did cloth business at Vijayawada and sustained heavy loss and became bankrupt, and the liabilities have exceeded than the amounts borrowed by him. The petitioner stopped the business and filed I.P.No.48/2004 on the file of the Senior Civil Judge, Ongole. Pending disposal of the said I.P., some of the creditors filed complaints before the police under Section 420 of I.P.C, and in those cases the petitioner was released on bail. But respondents 1 and 2, basing on those complaints, having been harassing him and his family members by calling to the police station regularly and make stayed them for long hours unnecessarily. Hence the writ petition.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Home, appearing for respondents 1 and 2.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the 1st and 2nd respondents have been harassing the petitioner and his family members by calling to the police station regularly and interfering with the civil disputes, and therefore, he prays to allow the writ petition.

On the other hand, the learned Government Pleader for Home contended that the petitioners are not being called to the police station regularly and there is no harassment made by the police.

As seen from the counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondents 1 and 2, two cases have been registered against the petitioner basing on the complaints filed by private persons. The allegations that the petitioner and his family members were being called to the police station and being harassed by the police

are specifically denied.

In that view of the matter, recording the contentions of the respondents 1 and 2, made in the counter affidavit, the Writ Petition is closed. No order as to costs.

K.C. BHANU,J

Dated: 08.09.2005

Dsr